

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/044,589	01/11/2002	Soeren H. Thomsen	29505/PF02187NA	4731	
29978	7590 01/13/200	5	EXAMINER		
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN (MOTOROLA)			EWART,	EWART, JAMES D	
233 SOUT	H WACKER DRIVE			<del> </del>	
SUITE 630	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO	HICAGO, IL 60606-6402		2683		

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/044,589	THOMSEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James D Ewart	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	fav. 2004 .					
2a)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-47</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-47</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2683

## Response to Arguments

1. The applicant's arguments regarding prior art rejections, filed 19 October 2004, have been fully considered by the Examiner, but they are not moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-47 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Appelman et al. and further in view of Phillips (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0184391).

Referring to claim 28 Appelman teaches a communication device arranged to receive and display a real-time communication message (Figure 18), a method comprising: receiving a real-time communication message (Figure 18), determining a presence of a message creation reference in the real-time communication message (Figure 18; 624 and Column 8, Lines 64-65), the message creation reference indicative of a message creation event (Figure 18; 624 and Column 8, Lines 64-65); sorting the real-time communication message based upon the message creation reference in relation to message creation references associated with other received real-time communication messages (Figure 18; 624 and Column 8, Lines 64-65); and displaying the

Art Unit: 2683

real-time communication messages in relative position to the other received real-time communication messages (Figure 18; 624 and Column 8, Lines 64-65), but does not teach using a wireless communication device. Phillips teaches using a wireless communication device (0011). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Appelman et al. with the teaching of Phillips of using a wireless communication device to transmit chat messages (0012). Since the message is real-time and the time stamp can be configured as to when the message was sent as taught in Column 8, Lines 64-65, Examiner equates the combination of real-time messaging with the indication of when the message was sent with message creation reference. In addition, since the figure shows an ordering based on the time stamp and column 8, Lines 61-65 indicates that: "the time stamp at which the message 616 was sent or received is shown in the time stamp field" sorting based on the message reference occurs.

Referring to claim 37, Appelman teaches a communication device comprising: a receiver to receive real-time communication messages (Figure 2 and 11) communicated by one or more communication devices (Figure 2 and 9); a memory (Figure 1) a display (Figure 1); a controller coupled to the receiver, the memory and the display (Figure 1), the controller being operable responsive to a control program stored in the memory to process received real-time communication messages to determine a presence in the real-time communication message of a message creation reference (Figure 12; 624 and Column 8, Lines 64-65) and to sort the real-time communication message based upon the message creation reference (Figure 12; 624 and Column 8, Lines 64-65), the message creation reference being indicative of a message creation event

Art Unit: 2683

(Figure 12; 624 and Column 8, Lines 64-65), to cause the real-time communication message to be displayed on the display in relation to other received real-time communication references (Figure 21), but does not teach using a wireless communication device. Phillips teaches using a wireless communication device (0011). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Appelman et al. with the teaching of Metso of using a wireless communication device to transmit chat messages (0012). Since the message is real-time and the time stamp can be configured as to when the message was sent as taught in Column 8, Lines 64-65, Examiner equates the combination of real-time messaging with the indication of when the message was sent with message creation reference. In addition, since the figure shows an ordering based on the time stamp and column 8, Lines 61-65 indicates that: "the time stamp at which the message 616 was sent or received is shown in the time stamp field" sorting based on the message reference occurs.

Referring to claims 29 and 38, Appelman further teaches wherein the real-time communication message comprises one of an instant messaging message and a group chat message and the step of receiving the real-time communication message comprises receiving the instant messaging message or the group chat message (Figures 25-29).

Referring to claims 30 and 39, Appelman further teaches wherein the message reference comprises a real-time temporal reference associated with creation of the real-time

Art Unit: 2683

communication message (Figure 12; 622). Since the messages only stay on the machine during the chat session, the T/F are equated with real-time temporal reference.

Referring to claims 31 and 40, Appelman further teaches wherein the message creation reference comprises one of a time stamp, a message identifier and a subscriber identifier associated with the real-time communication message (Figure 12; 624).

Referring to claims 32 and 41, Appelman further teaches wherein the message reference comprises a hash value (Figure 18; 674).

Referring to claims 33 and 42, Appelman further teaches wherein the hash value is associated with the real-time communication message based on an incoming message parameter (Figure 18; 674), the incoming message parameter being associated with a received real-time communication message from one or more wireless communication devices (Figure 18; 674).

Referring to claims 34 and 43, Appelman further teaches wherein the hash value is associated with the real-time communication message based on one of an incoming message number and a portion of incoming message content (Figure 18; 678), and wherein the incoming message number and the portion of incoming message content are associated with an incoming message from one or more wireless communication devices (Figure 21).

5

Art Unit: 2683

Referring to claim 35 and 47, Appelman further teaches wherein the steps of sorting and displaying the received real-time communication message are accomplished without user input (Figure 11; 410).

Referring to claims 36 and 44, Appelman further teaches wherein the step of sorting the received real-time communication message comprises sorting the real-time communication message relative to a plurality of real-time communication messages received during one of an instant messaging session and a group chat session (Figure 20).

Referring to claim 46, Phillips further teaches wherein the apparatus comprises one of an Internet Protocol (IP) network and a General Packet Radio Services (GPRS) network (0011).

## Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2683

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James D Ewart whose telephone number is (703) 305-4826. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7am - 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor.

William Trost can be reached on (703)308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications

and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

**Ewart** 

January 6, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

Teuravos